1 ROB BONTA NO FEE PER GOV. CODE §	6103	
	0105	
Attorney General of California ANTHONY P. O'BRIEN		
Supervising Deputy Attorney General KELSEY KOOK		
Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 285543		
2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721-2271		
Telephone: (559) 705-2356 6 Fax: (916) 324-8835		
E-mail: kelsey.kook@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Respondent California		
8 Department of Justice		
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11		
12		
ARTURO GUTIERREZ, Case No. 25STCV07287		
Petitioner, RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA		
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S	DIT OF	
v. ANSWER TO PETITION FOR W MANDAMUS AND STATUTORY MANDATE		
17 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Judge: The Honorable Holly J.	Fuije	
18 Respondent. Respondent. State of the Honorable Hony 3.  Action Filed: March 14, 2025	rujic	
19 Respondent. Action Fried. Water 14, 2023		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Respondent California Department of Justice ("Respondent" or "Department") hereby answers the Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Statutory Mandate ("Petition") of Petitioner Arturo Gutierrez. Respondent responds to the Complaint by admitting, denying, averring, and alleging as follows:

## **GENERAL DENIAL**

Respondent generally denies all allegations of the Petition not expressly admitted pursuant to section 431.30, subdivision (f) of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Respondent reserves its right to amend this answer to assert other defenses, if necessary.

## **SPECIFIC DENIALS**

- 1. Respondent states that the language quoted in lines 17 through 19 of page 1 of the Petition speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response.
- 2. Respondent states that the language quoted in lines 20 through 22 of page 1 of the Petition speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response.
  - 3. Respondent denies the allegations in line 2 through 3 of page 2 of the Petition.
- 4. In response to line 4 through 7 of page 2 of the Petition, Respondent admits that the Department's Task Force to Study and Develop Reparation Proposals for African Americans issued The California Reparations Report ("Report") in 2023. Respondent states that the Report speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation in lines 4 through 7 of page 2 of the Petition.
  - 5. Respondent denies the allegations in lines 8 through 10 of page 2 of the Petition.
  - 6. Respondent denies the allegations in line 11 of page 2 of the Petition.
- 7. Answering paragraph 1 of the Petition, Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the identity of Plaintiff, or the statements herein, and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 8. Answering paragraph 2 of the Petition, Respondent admits it is a State agency for the purposes of Government Code sections 7920.525 and 7920.540, subdivision (a). Respondent further states that the referenced portions of the Government Code, the Code of Civil Procedure, and the California Constitution speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent these lines contain argument and legal conclusions or misstate the cited laws, Respondent denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph
- 9. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibit 1 speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent further states that the referenced portions of the Government Code, the Code of Civil Procedure and the California Constitution speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 3 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates Exhibit 1 or the cited law, Respondent denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 3.
- 10. Answering paragraph 4 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of the Code of Civil Procedure speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 4 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 4.
- 11. Answering paragraph 5 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibits 3 and 4 speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response.
- 12. Answering paragraph 6(a)-(c) of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of the Government Code speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent these lines contain

argument and legal conclusions or misstates the cited laws, Respondent denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 6(a)-(c).

- 13. Answering paragraph 7 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of Exhibit 4 and the Government Code speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent these lines contain argument and legal conclusions or misstates Exhibit 4 and the cited law, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 14. Answering paragraph 8 of the Petition, Respondent admits that November 28, 2024, was Thanksgiving. Respondent further states that the referenced portions of Exhibit 4 speak for itself, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent this paragraph contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates Exhibit 4, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 15. Answering paragraph 9 of the Petition, Respondent admits that Petitioner did not object to the Extension of Time to December 2, 2024, due to the 14th day falling on Thanksgiving.
- 16. Answering paragraph 10 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6 speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to Petitioner's remaining allegations in paragraph 10, and, for that reason, denies those allegations.
  - 17. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Petition.
- 18. Answering paragraph 12 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibit 7 speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to Petitioner's remaining allegations in paragraph 12, and, for that reason, denies those allegations.
- 19. Answering paragraph 13 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibit 8 speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the rest of Petitioner's

15

16

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

- 31. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 25 of the Petition.
- 32. Answering paragraph 26 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited case law speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 26 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates the cited case law, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 33. Answering paragraph 27 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited case law speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 27 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates the cited case law, Respondent denies those allegations.
- Answering paragraph 28 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited study speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 28 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to Petitioner's remaining allegations in paragraph 28, and, for that reason, denies those allegations.
- Answering paragraph 29 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion 35. of cited case and statutory laws speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 29 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates the cited law, Respondent denies those allegations.
- Answering paragraph 30 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited Government Code speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 30 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations.
- Answering paragraph 31 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion 37. of cited U.S. Constitution, the Geneva Slavery Convention, Federal Statutes, and Penal Code speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 31 contains argument and legal conclusions Respondent denies those allegations.

- 39. Answering paragraph 33 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited Penal Code speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 33 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates the cited law, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 40. Answering paragraph 34 of the Petition, Respondent states that the November 14, 2024, letter to the Department of Justice (Exhibit 2) and the referenced portion of cited case law speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 34 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates the cited law, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 41. Answering paragraph 35 of the Petition, Respondent denies that no response was sent in response to Exhibit 7 and Exhibit 8. To the extent paragraph 35 of the Petition contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 42. Answering paragraph 36 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of cited Government Code speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 36 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstate the cited laws, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 43. Answering paragraph 37 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of cited Penal Code, and Government Code, speak for itself, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 37 contains argument and legal conclusions or misstate the cited laws, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 44. Answering paragraph 38 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of Exhibit 2 speak for itself, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent these lines contain argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations.

- 45. Answering paragraph 39(a)-(b) of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of cited Penal Code speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 39(a)-(b) contains argument and legal conclusions or misstate the cited laws, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 46. Answering paragraph 40 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of Exhibit 2 speak for themselves, are the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent this paragraph contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 47. Answering paragraph 41 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of Exhibit 2 speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent this paragraph contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to Petitioner's remaining allegations in paragraph 41 of the Petition, and, for that reason, denies those allegations.
- 48. Answering paragraph 42 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibits 3 and 4, which acknowledges receipt of Exhibit 2, speaks for themselves, is the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 42.
- 49. Answering paragraph 43 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of cited Government Code speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 43.
  - 50. Respondent denies the allegations in paragraph 44 of the Petition.
- 51. Answering paragraph 45 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited Government Code speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 45.

- 52. Answering paragraph 46 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portions of cited case law and federal law, speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. Respondent denies all remaining allegations in paragraph 46.
- 53. Answering paragraph 47 of the Petition, Respondent states that the referenced portion of cited California Constitution speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating thereto require no response. To the extent paragraph 47 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent denies those allegations. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to Petitioner's remaining allegations in paragraph 47, and, for that reason, denies those allegations.
  - 54. Respondent denies the allegation in paragraph 48 of the Petition.
- 55. Answering paragraph 49 of the Petition, Respondent admits Petitioner has included additional facts and law in a Memorandum that is attached to the Petition. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the rest of Petitioner's allegations in paragraph 49, and, for that reason, denies each and every allegation in that paragraph. To the extent this paragraph contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates the law, Respondent denies those allegations.
  - 56. Respondent denies the allegation in paragraph 50 of the Petition.
- 57. Answering paragraph 51 of the Petition, Respondent admits it received and accepted service of the writ petition and the Clerk's issuance of a summons for this matter.
- 58. Answering paragraph 52(a)-(k) of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibit 11 speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and, therefore, allegations relating it to require no response. To the extent this paragraph contains argument and legal conclusions or misstates Exhibit 11, Respondent denies those allegations.
- 59. Answering paragraph 53 of the Petition, Respondent states that Exhibits 1 through 15 speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and, therefore, allegations relating them to require no response. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the

1	Dated: April 11, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
2		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
3		Attorney General of California ANTHONY P. O'BRIEN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4 5		/s/ Kalsay Kook
6		/s/ Kelsey Kook KELSEY KOOK Deputy Attorney General
7		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondent California Department of Justice.
8	SA2025601096	
9	3A2023001090	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
27		
28		

## **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL and MESSENGER**

Case Name: **Gutierrez v. DOJ**No.: **25STCV07287** 

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is: 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090, Fresno, CA 93721-2271. My electronic service address is Ashanti.Billings@doj.ca.gov.

On <u>April 11, 2025</u>, I served the attached **RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S ANSWER TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND STATUTORY MANDATE by** transmitting a true copy via electronic mail. In addition, I caused the attached to be personally served by **ACE Attorney Service** for delivery to the following person at the address below:

Arturo Gutierrez
226 West Ojai Ave.
Suite 101, PMB 547
Ojai, CA 93023
E-mail Address:
teamleader@survivinginjustice.org

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on April 11, 2025, at Fresno, California.

A. Billings	/s/ A. Billings
Declarant	Signature

SA2025601096 95621756